

MINUTES
MEETING # 1
Intermodal Logistics Centre
Community Liaison Committee (CLC)

Location: Enfield Administration Building
 Enfield Site, Cosgrove Rd, Enfield
 Date: Wednesday 27 May, 2009
 Time: 4-6pm

Meeting number: 1

Attendees	
<p>Community Members: Jenny Maddocks (JM) Bill Thompson (BT)</p> <p>Independent Chair Ian Colley (IC)</p> <p>Minutetaker Sandra Spate (SS)</p>	<p>Business Representatives: David Bonner – Fairfax Printers (DB) Ross Edwards – TNT (RE)</p> <p>Sydney Ports Corporation: Shane Hobday (SH) Virginia Mullins (VM) Stephen Zaczekiewicz (SZ)</p>
<p>Apologies: Not present: George Campbell (GC)</p>	

Agenda Items:	
<p>1. Site tour</p>	<p>Stephen Zaczekiewicz, Senior Development Manger ILC at Enfield Project Office guided the tour of the site. The tour included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The rail diversion works area. Demolition and archiving of existing buildings has occurred. Remediation will take place when the Remediation Plan is approved. • Area along Cosgrove Rd side of site for 2 storey light industrial/commercial uses. • Exit to Cosgrove Rd. • Area for empty container storage. • Area for new overpass linking site to Roberts Rd. • Current stockpiles from marshalling yards redevelopment – 10% expected to be removed from site, the rest to be reused on site. • Heritage footbridge to be relocated in heritage area. • Pillar water tank to be relocated in heritage area. • Dust monitor at the northern end of site, one is also located at southern end. • Site for approved noise barriers between residential terraces to the north-west of site. Negotiations are taking place with Railcorp regarding final location. • Southern stockpile and floodplain which will become a 'community/ecological' area including a frog habitat. Detention ponds will ensure water quality of discharge from site to Cox creek. <p>Questions and discussion</p> <p>1.1 A member asked whether plans existed for upgrading the intersection and Arthur St and Centenary Drive as part of this project. Stephen replied there weren't as the development would have no major impact on this intersection.</p> <p>1.2 A member asked whether any green and gold bell frogs had been found on site this year, as she had found one in March in South Strathfield. They travel over a wide area. Stephen replied that none had been found. An environmental scientist inspects each area before occupation. Frog fences are then erected.</p> <p>1.3 A member asked about stage reached in the approval process. Stephen replied that approval had been given for all works subject to approval for detailed design. Department of Planning is the approval authority and approval is given under major projects, Part 3A.</p>

1.4	<p>A member asked whether the station platform would stay as a heritage item.</p> <p>Stephen confirmed that it would remain.</p>
2.	<p>Welcome and introductions</p> <p>2.1 Shane Hobday, SPC general manager of Safety, Security and Environment welcomed members and thanked them for volunteering to be part of the committee. He noted that meetings would be chaired by Ian Colley as an independent chair and minutes taken by Sandra Spate as an independent minute taker. It is the intention to work with and talk to the community throughout the life of the project.</p> <p>Members introduced themselves to the meeting.</p>
3	<p>Purpose and Agenda</p> <p>3.1 The chair suggested that at this stage the committee should probably meet quarterly. The purpose of the committee is to focus on issues that impact on the community. The role is to be a community liaison committee and tease out issues that may affect the community. Membership involves a range of stakeholders from the community and business. The draft agenda for meetings would be distributed two weeks before meetings, additional items could be submitted by members. The final agenda would be distributed one week prior to the meeting. Members could propose future agenda items at any time.</p> <p>3.2 A member noted the Action Plan indicated up to nine representatives. She asked whether additional members were being considered. Shane replied that membership included the Sydney Ports representatives. Membership will also be reviewed as the committee progresses. If there is a view that some elements of the community or business are not represented the issue of membership could be revisited</p>
4	<p>Expectations for this meeting</p>
4.1	<p>The chair understands the role of the chair is to ensure issues and viewpoints are heard. Notes are taken by an independent note taker. The chair suggests a summary approach of key issues and decisions.</p>
5	<p>Roles and responsibilities for the Community Liaison Group</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Terms of Reference - The 'culture' of the Committee (group rules, how discussion is managed etc) <p>5.1 The chair outlined his understanding of the function of the group to focus on construction and operational issues, to explore methods for minimising impacts, to try and resolve issues by bringing them to the table and to disseminate information to the community. It is an advisory committee. Sydney Ports Corporation is the decision making body, but there is an expectation that SPC will provide responses to issues raised. General principles and expected behaviour outlined in the terms of reference are designed to ensure issues are brought out in the open but respectful behaviour is shown in the discussion of issues.</p> <p>5.2 A member asked if there was scope to invite guest speakers. She also asked whether Ports would bring in independent expert advice on particular issues if required. The chair responded that guest speakers can be invited. He asked that committee members consider who they may like to invite. Stephen replied that if SPC couldn't answer queries, they would seek to find an appropriate person and recommend them to the committee. Committee agreement would be sought regarding the appropriateness of the person to be invited to address the committee.</p> <p>5.3 Shane requested that if information is brought to the committee which is not yet in the public domain, that it be treated sensitively.</p> <p>A member reported to the group that he had been a candidate in the last council elections, and as such was in contact with councilors.</p> <p>Steve noted that SPC was in communication with both Bankstown Council and Strathfield</p>

- Council.
- 5.4 A member asked whether observers could attend the meeting from the Chullora business park. Ian Colley replied that an open door policy for these types of committees doesn't work. He suggested an alternative may be for someone from SPC to conduct briefings at the business park.
- 5.5 The meeting agreed to the protocols outlined in the Terms of Reference for the CLC

6 Overview of the Proposal

- 6.1 A presentation by Stephen Zaczekiewicz outlined:
- The Business Context of Enfield
 - The Project
 - Outlined Business context,
 - The Project
 - Project Development Progress
- A copy of the presentation was distributed to the meeting as well as a Fact sheet regarding Road Traffic.
- Stephen noted the current figure for movement of freight by rail from Port Botany is around 20%. The target is 40%. 85% of containers from Port Botany are destined to or sourced from the Sydney Metropolitan area. Approval is for a 300,000 TEU terminal. The main access to the site will be via Norfolk road from Roberts Rd. At the Roberts Rd/Norfolk intersection a left hand slip lane is proposed and the right turn lane will be extended right turn lane. There will be no through traffic straight ahead. No traffic to go through the residential area. Expectations are that many businesses using the new facility will move their operations closer to ILC, saving in truck movements. Traffic modeling indicates no major increase by 2016. It is expected a 0.5%-1% increase will be due to ILC movements. A Construction Environment Management Plan has been approved. Community notices have gone out to residents with visibility of site as well as notices in the local paper. Requests for tenants and operators have gone out. By late 2009 tenants will be appointed and construction contractor will progressively hand over completed areas to the tenants and operators. At the Intermodal area, Ports will construct pavement to allow small operators to be able to access terminal. A condition for the development is a contribution of \$1m to a community fund 70% of which will go to Bankstown Council and 30% to Strathfield.
- 6.2 A member asked what the timeframe the Moorebank and St Marys intermodals is. Stephen replied that the Moorebank proponents were hoping for a facility by 2012 -2014. There is no definite timeframe for St Marys
- 6.3 A member asked how contamination on site is treated. Are site audit statements provided? Steve responded that this is picked up by an independent site auditor. Each area is treated by land farming (ploughing and exposure to sunlight) and certified for industrial use. Management plans cover different sections of the site.

7 Next Steps

- Committee members should consider issues they would like raise at the next meeting.
- The next meeting is Wednesday 26 August 26 at 4.00 pm.

These minutes have been endorsed by the Chair, Ian Colley